Circadian and wakefulness-sleep modulation of cognition in humans
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Cognitive and affective processes vary over the course of the 24 h day. Time of day dependent changes in human cognition are modulated by an internal circadian timekeeping system with a near-24 h period. The human circadian timekeeping system interacts with sleep-wakefulness regulatory processes to modulate brain arousal, neurocognitive and affective function. Brain arousal is regulated by ascending brain stem, basal forebrain (BF) and hypothalamic arousal systems and inhibition or disruption of these systems reduces brain arousal, impairs cognition, and promotes sleep. The internal circadian timekeeping system modulates cognition and affective function by projections from the master circadian clock, located in the hypothalamic suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN), to arousal and sleep systems and via clock gene oscillations in brain tissues. Understanding the basic principles of circadian and wakefulness-sleep physiology can help to recognize how the circadian system modulates human cognition and influences learning, memory and emotion. Developmental changes in sleep and circadian processes and circadian misalignment in circadian rhythm sleep disorders have important implications for learning, memory and emotion. Overall, when wakefulness occurs at appropriate internal biological times, circadian clockwork benefits human cognitive and emotion function throughout the lifespan. Yet, when wakefulness occurs at inappropriate biological times because of environmental pressures (e.g., early school start times, long work hours that include work at night, shift work, jet lag) or because of circadian rhythm sleep disorders, the resulting misalignment between circadian and wakefulness-sleep physiology leads to impaired cognitive performance, learning, emotion, and safety.
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Cognitive and affective processes vary with time of day. Brain and behavioral mechanisms that underlie this variation include prior amount of wakefulness, prior sleep history and internal circadian timing. We therefore begin by reviewing central nervous system processes that regulate brain arousal as these processes are involved in modulating neurocognitive and neuro-affective function. Brain arousal is modulated across the 24 h day to a large extent by active brain processes that promote wakefulness and sleep. Evidence of clock gene expression in brain cells outside of the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) suggests a fundamental role of circadian clocks in brain function at the cellular level. Understanding the modulation of cognition by these processes provides perspective on the complex neurophysiological regulation of cognition in humans, including how the circadian and wakefulness-sleep systems interact. We then discuss the importance of proper alignment between wakefulness-sleep and circadian systems for promoting optimal cognitive function. The negative influence of circadian misalignment (e.g., sleep and wakefulness occurring at an abnormal internal circadian time) on cognition is reviewed. Next, developmental changes in sleep and circadian systems are discussed as are the implications of these developmental changes for optimizing school start times to improve learning in school. Lastly, the contribution of circadian misalignment to the health and safety concerns associated with circadian sleep–wake disorders is discussed.

REGULATION OF BRAIN AROUSAL

WAKEFULNESS PROMOTING SYSTEMS

Arousal systems located in the brain stem, basal forebrain (BF) and hypothalamus promote wakefulness while inhibition of these systems promotes sleep (Figure 1A). Ascending arousal systems are important for activating cortical neurons and promoting cognition. In addition, descending projections from the reticular formation are important for postural control and muscle tone facilitating neurobehavioral output (Schepens et al., 2008). Neurotransmitters involved in promoting wakefulness include acetylcholine, dopamine, glutamate, histamine, norepinephrine, orexin, and serotonin. Cholinergic cells, located in the pedunculopontine (PPT) and laterodorsal tegmental nuclei (LDT), project to the thalamus and excite thalamocortical cells.
Neurotransmitter and neuropeptide systems involved in brain arousal and therefore in cognitive and affective function include the monoaminergic neurotransmitters histamine (His) from the tuberomammillary nucleus (TMN); norepinephrine (NE) from the locus coeruleus (LC); serotonin (5-HT) from the midbrain raphe nuclei; dopamine (DA) from the ventral tegmental area (VTA); and substance nigra (not shown); the cholinergic neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACH) from the basal forebrain (BF) and the pedunculopontine (PPT) and laterodorsal tegmental nuclei (LDT); the peptide orexin (ORX), also referred to as hypocretin, from the lateral hypothalamus (LH); the excitatory amino acids glutamate (GLUT) and aspartate; vasopressin (VP), and vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) from the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN).

The master circadian clock located in the SCN has modulatory input to many of the ascending arousal pathways, some through direct projections from the SCN (not labeled, see text) and many through indirect projections relayed by the dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH). Through such projections, the SCN can modulate circadian rhythms in brain arousal to promote wakefulness. The SCN has modulatory input to the sleep systems via relay projections to the DMH, onto the sleep promoting VLPO as well as midbrain and brain stem arousal systems. Through such projections, the SCN can modulate circadian rhythms in brain arousal to promote sleep.

neurons show similar firing rates across sleep-wakefulness states (Shouse et al., 2000), yet inputs to dopamine neurons from other arousal systems may influence sleep and wakefulness (Monti and Monti, 2007). Glutamate and aspartate are excitatory amino acids localized within many neurons projecting to the cerebral cortex, the forebrain and brainstem. Glutamate, especially from the BF, is released in the largest amounts during wakefulness and its antagonists produce sleep (Nitz and Siegel, 1997). Histamine neurons localized in the tuberomammillary nucleus of the caudal hypothalamus provide excitatory input to the cerebral cortex promoting memory and feeding behaviors (Kohler et al., 2011; Passani et al., 2011). Histamine also appears to have a role in emotion and addiction-related behaviors (Yanai and Tashiro, 2007; Brabant et al., 2010). Histamine neuron firing rates are high during wakefulness and low during sleep (Brown et al., 2001; Jones, 2005). Norepinephrine (noradrenergic) neurons, localized primarily in the locus coeruleus (LC), project to the forebrain and cerebral cortex and are involved in attention, enhancing cortical activation and behavioral and emotional arousal (Greene et al., 2009; Tully and Bolshakov, 2010). Norepinephrine neurons also project to motor neurons providing excitatory drive to the motor neuron pool and therefore enhanced neuromuscular activity. Locus coeruleus neurons show higher firing rates during wakefulness than during sleep (Aston-Jones and Bloom, 1981; Steriade, 1992). Orexin/hypocretin cells localized in the lateral hypothalamus (LH) project to widespread areas of the brain stem, thalamus, hypothalamus and cerebral cortex (de Lecea et al., 1998; Peyron et al., 1998; Date et al., 1999) promoting brain arousal including emotional arousal (Hagan et al., 1999; Jones, 2008; Johnson et al., 2010). Orexin neurons show highest firing rates during waking, especially during movement, discharge less in quiet waking and are lowest during sleep (Jones, 2008). Serotonin neurons, localized in the brain stem raphe nuclei (RN) project to the cortex and spinal cord to promote brain, emotional and neuromuscular arousal (Lowry et al., 2010). Many serotonergic neurons themselves are under stimulatory control by other arousal-promoting systems, such as norepinephrine, histamine, and orexin (Sakai and Crochet, 2001; Brown et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2002; Soffin et al., 2004; Takahashi et al., 2005). The serotonergic neurons involved in promotion of brain arousal are likely to represent a subset of the total population of serotonergic neurons, as serotonergic neurons are topographically and functionally heterogeneous (Lowry et al., 2008; Hale and Lowry, 2011). Serotonergic neurons in the ventral part of the dorsal raphe nucleus, which project heavily to cortical and sensorimotor areas, are likely to play an important role in cortical and behavioral arousal (Lowry et al., 2008; Hale and Lowry, 2011). These neurons show higher firing rates during wakefulness than during sleep (Sakai and Crochet, 2001; Abrams et al., 2004; Lowry et al., 2010). Figure 1 shows that projections from the LDT and PPT excite thalamocortical pathways; projections from brain stem LC and midbrain RN excite cortical, midbrain and spinal neuromuscular pathways. Projections from the LH excite cortical, midbrain and brain stem arousal pathways and play an important role in stabilizing the state of wakefulness. Projections from the BF excite cortical and midbrain arousal pathways. Collectively, the systems discussed above promote brain arousal and disruption of one or more of these
systems will have negative influences on cognitive and affective function.

**SLEEP PROMOTING SYSTEMS**

Sleep promoting systems include neurons in the median preoptic nucleus of the hypothalamus (MnPN) (Uschakov et al., 2007; Hsieh et al., 2011) that increase firing rates prior to sleep onset and sleep active neurons in the ventrolateral preoptic area of the hypothalamus (VLPO) (Saper et al., 2005; Szymusiak et al., 2007; Saper et al., 2010). A number of sleep factors have been reported to promote sleep and be part of the natural sleep process. These factors include but are not limited to the neuromodulator adenosine and immune cytokines (Krueger et al., 2011). Adenosine is a sleep factor that reflects homeostatic sleep drive, rising with increased time awake, dissipating during sleep, and influencing brain regulatory wakefulness/sleep centers (Rainnie et al., 1994; Porkka-Heiskanen et al., 1997; Chamberlin et al., 2003). Specifically, adenosine builds up throughout the day in the BF and dissipates with sleep. Adenosine binds to adenosine receptors located on the VLPO, MnPN, orexin and non-orexin LH cells, and in the cortex to promote sleep (Elmenhorst et al., 2007; Szymusiak and McGinty, 2008; Rai et al., 2010). Activation of the MnPN and VLPO leads to GABA release quieting activity of ascending arousal systems. Some proinflammatory cytokines released from immune cells are also reported to increase with sleep loss, play a role in promoting the natural sleep process and are associated with increased sleepiness (Vgontzas et al., 2004; Frey et al., 2007; Krueger et al., 2011). Activities of wakefulness and sleep neurons discussed above not only vary between wakefulness and sleep as described, but many also vary in their activity between NREM and REM sleep. See (Jones, 2005; Saper et al., 2005; Fuller et al., 2007; Szymusiak et al., 2007; Szymusiak and McGinty, 2008; Saper et al., 2010) for reviews on brain control of NREM and REM sleep states.

**MUTUAL INHIBITORY NATURE OF WAKEFULNESS AND SLEEP PROMOTING SYSTEMS**

The major monoaminergic arousal systems each send projections to the VLPO (Chou et al., 2002) and these arousal cell groups actively inhibit the VLPO to promote wakefulness. Orexin neurons contribute to the activation of arousal systems and help to maintain wakefulness. Activity of orexin neurons are influenced by other neurons including excitatory glutamatergic and inhibitory GABAergic inputs from BF and excitatory norepinephrine inputs from the LC (Bayer et al., 2005; Henny and Jones, 2006; Hassani et al., 2010). Arousal systems in turn are inhibited through GABAergic and galaninergic projections from the VLPO and MnPN (Lu et al., 2000; Szymusiak and McGinty, 2008; Saper et al., 2010) to promote sleep. Although transitions between sleep and wakefulness appear rapid (Wright et al., 1995), cognition is initially impaired quite severely upon the transition from sleep to wakefulness (Dinges, 1990; Wertz et al., 2006). This phenomenon, called sleep inertia, is associated with rapid activation of brain stem arousal systems noted above but a delayed activation of cortical regions, especially the prefrontal cortex (Balkin et al., 2002). The neurophysiological process of awakening is less well characterized than is the promotion of sleep.

**CIRCADIAN MODULATION OF BRAIN AROUSAL**

The circadian timekeeping system is composed of a self-sustained master circadian clock, located in the SCN of the hypothalamus, as well as circadian clocks in tissues outside of the SCN. Rhythmic clock gene expression during light-dark cycles has been described in some brain regions involved in cognition, affect, and arousal pathways including prefrontal, parietal and cingulate cortex, amygdala, caudate-putamen, nucleus accumbens, hippocampus, ventral tegmental area (VTA), and dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH) (Namihira et al., 1999; Masabuchi et al., 2000; Wakamatsu et al., 2001; Abe et al., 2002; Guilding and Piggins, 2007; Guilding et al., 2009; Li et al., 2009; Jilg et al., 2010; Cermakian et al., 2011; Hughes et al., 2011). Many, but not all brain regions appear to show rhythmic clock gene expression (e.g., Abe et al., 2002; Guilding and Piggins, 2007). Emerging evidence indicates that altered clock gene function is associated with altered learning at cellular and behavior levels (e.g., long-term potentiation and trace-fear conditioning; Wang et al., 2009). The contribution of brain clocks outside of the SCN for human cognition remains to be determined, but it has been hypothesized that disrupted synchronization among brain clocks may contribute to cognitive and emotional impairments in Alzheimer’s disease (Cermakian et al., 2011) and psychiatric disorders (Rosenwasser, 2010).

The SCN modulates human physiology and behavior with a near-24 h periodicity (i.e., the duration to complete one cycle is approximately 24 h) (Wright et al., 2001; Duffy et al., 2011). Efferents from the SCN project to many of the brain regions involved in promoting wakefulness and sleep (Figures 1B and 1C) (Chou et al., 2002; Deurveilher and Semba, 2003, 2005). For example, vasopressin (VP) and vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) containing SCN efferents project to the DMH (Dai et al., 1997; Deurveilher and Semba, 2005; Kalsbeek et al., 2010), which relays projections to ascending arousal norepinephrine LC neurons, cholinergic LDT neurons, dopamine VTA neurons, and serotonergic raphe neurons (Aston-Jones et al., 1991, 2001; Luo and Aston-Jones, 2009). SCN efferents also indirectly project to wakefulness-promoting orexinergic and histaminergic neurons in the LH, and to the sleep active VLPO (Chou et al., 2003; Saper et al., 2005). Efferents from the SCN project to the subparaventricular hypothalamic zone (Leak and Moore, 2001; Chou et al., 2003; Saper et al., 2005), which in turn projects to the preoptic area of the hypothalamus, which, in addition to its role in sleep regulation, is involved in thermoregulation (Szymusiak et al., 2007). SCN efferents also indirectly project to the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus and modulate sympathetic nervous system activity and endocrine physiology. For example, the SCN regulates the circadian rhythm in the pineal hormone melatonin (Telemarain-Mesbah et al., 1999; Rajaratnam et al., 2009b). Once secreted by the pineal gland, melatonin initiates a cascade of physiological events that are sleep promoting in humans. Melatonin binding to melatonin receptors in the SCN reduces SCN neuronal firing rates and this is hypothesized to quiet the circadian brain arousal signal and therefore promote sleep. Melatonin and melatonin receptor agonists also affect other physiological systems that promote sleep, such as reducing core body temperature and increasing peripheral heat loss (Krauchi et al.,
Circadian misalignment, induced by shifts of the light-dark cycle simulating jet lag, is reported to be associated with internal desynchrony between clock gene expression in the SCN and peripheral tissues. For example, clock genes in the liver take longer to adjust to an advance of the light-dark cycle (Yamazaki et al., 2000). Similar internal desynchrony occurs between clock gene oscillations in the SCN and other brain regions (Abe et al., 2002) and thus brain desynchrony could contribute to disrupted cognitive function during circadian misalignment. Further research is needed to understand mechanisms by which circadian oscillations in brain tissues are synchronized. Circadian disruption associated with a failure to synchronize to a non-24 h day length has also been shown to alter the structure of the prefrontal cortex and result in impaired cognitive function (Karatsoreos et al., 2011).

The circadian system also gates exposure to light which can have direct and indirect influences on cognition. For example, projections from intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells project to the SCN and directly to the dorsal raphe nucleus (Shen and Semba, 1994) and VLPO (Gooley et al., 2003; Hannibal and Fahrenkrug, 2004). Light exposure during wakefulness can thus modulate brain arousal through influence on sleep-wakefulness centers discussed above.

NEUROCOGNITIVE AND NEURO-AFFECTIVE FUNCTION
INTEGRATIVE CONTROL SYSTEMS OF COGNITION

Cognition varies across the 24 h day, and the pattern of cognitive and affective function is driven by interactions between the three neurobiological processes discussed above: sleep inertia, homeostatic sleep drive and circadian phase. Figure 2 shows a typical pattern observed for working memory performance across a typical day of wakefulness followed by one night of total sleep deprivation. Immediately upon awakening, cognition is severely impaired due to sleep inertia. Over time, sleep inertia is dissipated and high levels of cognition are reached between 2–4 h awake. Cognition is then maintained at relatively high levels across the remainder of the typical waking day, with the exception of a midday dip or afternoon slump in cognition. If wakefulness is extended after habitual bedtime, cognition worsens and is impaired in the early morning hours. The current example shows that the influence of sleep inertia on cognition can be worse than that produced by one night of total sleep deprivation for working memory performance. Additional research is needed to compare the relative effects of sleep inertia and sleep loss on other measures of cognition. The individual contribution of sleep inertia, sleep homeostatic and circadian processes to the daily pattern of cognition can be modeled in forced desynchrony protocols that schedule sleep and wakefulness to occur across the circadian cycle. To date, forced desynchrony studies have modeled the independent contribution of sleep homeostatic and circadian processes for vigilance, working memory, sleepiness and mood (Czeisler et al., 1994; Boivin et al., 1997; Wyatt et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2009; Murray et al., 2009; Cohen et al., 2010; Grady et al., 2010; Silva et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2011a, 2012; Matthews et al., 2012). General findings from these studies indicate that alertness, vigilance, cognitive speed, memory, mood, and driving performance, degrade with time awake and cycle with circadian phase. Findings from forced desynchrony studies help to explain the typical daily pattern of cognition shown in Figure 2. Specifically, Figure 3 shows at habitual wake time, cognition is low because both sleep inertia and the circadian system reduce brain arousal. When sleep inertia is dissipated, cognition is high as the homeostatic drive for sleep is relatively low and the circadian system begins to promote brain arousal. As time awake progresses, homeostatic sleep drive builds and if it were not for an increase in circadian-driven brain arousal, performance would degrade across the waking day. The midday dip in cognition observed in many but not all studies may result because the circadian arousal signal was not yet strong enough to counter the level of homeostatic sleep drive, yet studies that manipulate circadian phase and sleep drive are needed to test the latter. During the habitual sleep episode both high homeostatic sleep drive at the beginning of the sleep episode and high circadian sleep drive at the end of the sleep episode promote sleep. When wakefulness is sustained into the habitual sleep time (sleep deprivation at night), brain arousal is low resulting in a vulnerable time of cognitive impairment. This typical daily pattern of cognition is dependent upon an appropriate relationship between sleep-wakefulness and internal circadian timing (Dijk and Czeisler, 1995; Hull et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2011a). From a circadian perspective, cognition is best several hours prior to habitual sleep time and worst near habitual wake time. Sleep inertia has also been reported to vary...
with circadian phase and prior wakefulness (Dinges, 1990; Scheer et al., 2008; Silva and Duffy, 2008), but additional work is needed to describe the individual contribution of sleep inertia to cognition. Predictions from this simple model shown in Figure 3 are relatively straightforward with respect to changes in the relationship between sleep drive and circadian phase. However, this model does not take into account that the sleep and circadian drives interact such that the amplitude of the circadian influence on cognition increases with homeostatic sleep drive. Specifically, cognition will be worse near the circadian low in brain arousal in the morning hours especially following a prolonged duration of wakefulness (Cohen et al., 2010; Grady et al., 2010). In addition, prior sleep history/chronic sleep loss interacts with circadian phase and duration of wakefulness to worsen cognition (Cohen et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2011b). It has also been shown that vigilance impairments are cumulative with increased days of circadian misalignment (Wright et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2009; Cohen et al., 2010; Silva et al., 2010). Whereas tasks with learning curves either show continued improvement (Lee et al., 2009) or learning impairment (Wright et al., 2006) during chronic circadian misalignment. Additional research is necessary to determine how circadian and wakefulness-sleep systems individually contribute and interact to modulate higher cognitive functions as the forced desynchrony studies to date have focused on limited types of cognitive functions.

The interaction between sleep-wakefulness and circadian timing can help to understand differences in cognitive function between morning and evening types. Morning types go to bed and awaken at a later internal circadian time (Kerkhof and van Dongen, 1996; Duffy et al., 1999; Mongrain et al., 2004). Thus, their circadian rhythm in brain arousal occurs earlier helping to explain why they are more alert and perform better in the morning and are sleepier in the evening as compared to evening types. Conversely, evening types go to bed and awaken at an earlier circadian time. Thus, evening types awaken closer to the circadian nadir of brain arousal, making it more difficult to be awake in the morning, and are more alert and perform better in the evening as their circadian peak in brain arousal occurs later in the day as compared to morning types. Morningness-eveningness behavioral preferences (Duffy et al., 2001) and the relationship between internal circadian timing and habitual bedtime/environmental time (Wright et al., 2005; Gronfier et al., 2007; Duffy et al., 2011) are driven in part by the circadian period of the individuals circadian clock. Those individuals with shorter or faster periods are more likely to be morning types and those with longer or slower circadian periods are more likely to be evening types (Duffy et al., 2001). Findings from forced desynchrony studies also indicate that there are age related differences in performance during circadian misalignment, with young adults showing greater impairment in cognition than older adults (Silva et al., 2010).

**REAL WORLD CONSEQUENCES OF CIRCADIAN MISALIGNMENT**

**CIRCADIAN MISALIGNMENT IMPAIRS COGNITION**

Misalignment between sleep and wakefulness schedules and the internal circadian timing system occurs in many occupational schedules in today’s 24 h society (e.g., emergency medical and safety personnel, transportation, security and military personnel). There is substantial evidence that sleepiness and fatigue caused by circadian misalignment and associated sleep loss have been the primary causes of serious accidents and tragedies in industry and transportation (Horne and Reyner, 1995; Lyznicki et al., 1998; Horne et al., 2003; Barger et al., 2005). Each year, many transportation accidents are attributed to the operators falling asleep at the controls (Pack et al., 1995). In a recent study of medical residents, it was reported that chronic sleep loss combined with working during the circadian night impaired performance on the work shift, increased the risk of actual medical errors and increased the risk of falling asleep at the wheel while driving home (Landrigan et al., 2004; Lockley et al., 2004; Barger et al., 2005). The ΔPER3/3 (human Period 3) gene polymorphism is associated with impaired performance during acute circadian misalignment of overnight sleep deprivation providing evidence of genetic contribution to individual differences in performance (Viola et al., 2007). The ΔPER3/3 appears to be specific to cognitive impairment associated with circadian misalignment as no association with performance vulnerability was observed during the biological daytime under conditions of chronic sleep loss (Goel et al., 2009).

**CIRCADIAN MISALIGNMENT AND COGNITION IN CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS**

Although a growing literature from adults indicates the importance of circadian timing for cognitive processes, its role in children and adolescents is poorly understood. The first two decades of life represent a time of dramatic developmental change in brain structure and function associated with basic cognitive and affective function supporting life-long adaptation and learning (Stiles...
and Jernigan, 2010). Importantly, shifts in sleep and brain arousal enable children to stay awake and interact in their environment for longer and longer periods of time as they mature. For example, findings from longitudinal research suggest total 24 h sleep duration (reported time in bed) is about 13 h at 2 years, 11.5 h at 5 years, 9.9 h at 10 years, and 8.1 h at 16 years of age (Iglowstein et al., 2003). Afternoon sleep tendency unfolds in a U-shaped distribution, with high levels at ages 2–3 years, low levels in 8–9 year-olds, and moderate-to-high levels in 15–16 year-olds (Carskadon et al., 1980; Crosby et al., 2005). Unlike adults, the basic physiological processes (circadian and homeostatic) underlying regulation of sleep and alertness in children and adolescents are undergoing developmental change (Jenni and LeBourgeois, 2006). In the context of academic, family, and social demands, the developing interaction of these processes may place children and adolescents at high risk for problems in sustaining wakefulness during the day, falling asleep at an appropriate bedtime, and/or waking in the morning feeling rested and alert. Current findings in pre-pubertal and fully mature adolescents suggest the well-known adolescent sleep phase delay is determined by changes in both systems. Specifically, a phase delay of about one hour in the internal circadian timekeeping system [Dim Light Melatonin Onset (DLMO) ∼2030 h in prepubertal children; ∼2130 h in mature adolescents] and a slower accumulation of sleep pressure across the day interact to promote alertness at a later time in the evening in adolescents than in children (Jenni et al., 2005). Much less is known about the homeostatic and circadian processes in children under the age of 9 years and understanding developmental aspects of sleep and circadian rhythm physiology in young children represents an unmet need. Available findings indicate the internal timing of the circadian clock is earlier in preschool children (DLMO ∼1930 h) than in school-age children and adolescents (Garlo et al., 2008).

Well-controlled studies of the cognitive effects of misalignment between circadian physiology and sleep-wakefulness times in children and adolescents are nascent. Nonetheless, several lines of research converge to suggest circadian misalignment may contribute to impaired cognition in young humans. First, early school start times are a well-known social demand requiring many teens to rise, attend class, and perform cognitively before the waking-promoting effects of the circadian system are fully realized (Carskadon et al., 1998). Findings from several studies have shown delayed school start times lead to more time in bed, improved mood, better grades, improved attendance rates, and reduced driving accidents (Wolfson et al., 2007; Owens et al., 2010; Wahlstrom, 2010; Vorona et al., 2011). Second, in older adolescents studied in a well-controlled 28 h constant routine protocol, sustained attention (short-term stability) increased across the daytime and decreased during the night (Valdez et al., 2010). Third, performance on executive function tasks varies with time of day, with adolescents performing better in the afternoon than in the morning (van der Heijden et al., 2010). These changes during adolescence may be related to a delay in the timing of the internal circadian clock, as well as chronic sleep loss. Finally, preschool children’s readiness for school is strongly predicted by their weekend sleep phase, such that young children going to bed and waking later (midpoint of sleep) are more likely to perform poorly on cognitive tasks than those with early sleep phases (Crosby et al., 2006). Given success in school is largely dependent on key cognitive processes (e.g., attention, memory, executive function) and predicts long-term occupational, health, and academic outcomes, a greater emphasis on understanding circadian misalignment effects on cognition in childhood and adolescence is warranted.

As noted above, older adults appear to show smaller changes in cognitive function during circadian misalignment as compared to young adults (Silva et al., 2010). This finding is perhaps related to a reduced sensitivity to circadian and/or homeostatic sleep promoting drives with aging. As children and adolescents have a higher sleep pressure than adults, it is possible that children and adolescents may show even greater impairment than young adults during circadian misalignment. Additional research using circadian protocols is needed to examine the latter.

**CIRCADIAN MISALIGNMENT IN CIRCADIAN RHYTHM SLEEP-WAKE DISORDERS**

Circadian sleep disorders are often characterized by misalignment between internal circadian timekeeping and sleep-wakefulness/environmental schedules (Sack et al., 2007a,b). A common symptom observed in patients with circadian sleep disorders is disturbed/inadequate sleep when sleep is desired. These disorders often cause excessive daytime sleepiness and fatigue. The most common circadian sleep disorders are Delayed Sleep Phase Disorder, Advanced Sleep Phase Disorder, Jet Lag, Non-24 H Sleep Wake Disorder, and Shift Work Disorder. These disorders have negative family, social, work, and health consequences. The type of circadian misalignment and the cognitive affective consequences associated with these disorders will be briefly described. More detailed discussion of these disorders can be found elsewhere (Lu and Zee, 2006; Sack et al., 2007a,b; Reid and Zee, 2009).

**Delayed and advanced sleep phase disorder types**

Delayed sleep phase is often associated with a later internal circadian brain arousal rhythm that promotes bedtimes and wake times that are later than desired or than are required by the patient because of imposed school or work schedules. Adolescents and young adults are most likely to present with delayed sleep phase. Patients with delayed sleep phase have difficulties falling asleep at a reasonable hour and have difficulties awakening and with cognitive functioning in the morning (Sack et al., 2007b) (Figure 4). Morning sleepiness especially interferes with their daily functioning and ability to cognitively perform in school. When responsibilities no longer dictate an early sleep schedule (e.g., summer vacation), the individual has no difficulty sleeping or awakening when the timing of the major sleep episode is delayed and the person is allowed to sleep in late. Figure 4 shows the desire to go to bed later and to sleep in later in the morning is related in part to a shift in internal circadian time, as represented by the delay in the timing of the circadian melatonin rhythm (red dashed line) as compared to normal (black solid line). This delay in circadian timing is associated with and may be the primary cause of sleep onset insomnia (i.e., attempts to go to sleep at an internal biological time when the
circadian clock is promoting wakefulness) and morning sleepiness (i.e., awakening at an internal biological time when the circadian clock is promoting sleep). Polymorphisms in the circadian clock gene hPer2 (human Period 2), the melatonin rate limiting enzyme gene AA-NAT (arylalkylamine N-acetyltransferase) and the clock protein phosphorylating enzyme CK1ε (casein kinase I epsilon) have been reported to be associated with delayed sleep phase (Ebisawa et al., 2001; Hohjoh et al., 2003; Takano et al., 2004) indicating a genetic component to this disorder. Whether these clock gene polymorphisms are associated with impaired cognition when sleep occurs at the appropriate internal biological time is unknown. Delayed sleep phase is also associated with psychiatric comorbidities suggesting that circadian misalignment and associated sleep loss may increase expression of psychiatric disorders (Okawa and Uchiyama, 2007; Dagan et al., 1998). Opposite to the pattern observed in delayed sleep phase, advanced sleep phase is associated with an earlier internal circadian brain arousal rhythm that promotes bedtimes and wake times that are earlier than desired. Older adults are more likely to present with advanced sleep phase. Evening sleepiness most often interferes with social-cognitive functioning in advanced sleep phase type. If sleep and wakefulness occur at advanced times driven by the circadian clock, however, sleep is often of adequate duration and quality as is cognitive function. Individuals with a familial form of advances sleep phase carry a serine to glycine mutation in hPer2 (human Period 2) that is associated with a shorter period length of the circadian clock (Töh et al., 2001) promoting early bed and wake times. Treatment with appropriately timed bright light improves cognition and sleep in delayed and advance sleep phase (Sack et al., 2007b).

Jet lag type

Jet lag results from circadian misalignment caused by rapid travel across multiple time zones. During eastward jet travel, bed and wake times in the new time zone are earlier than the internal circadian time of the traveler and the opposite is true for westward travel. Sleep disruption and being awake at an inappropriate internal circadian time contribute to increased risk of accidents (e.g., drowsy driving) and impaired cognition (e.g., reduced cognitive ability during business meetings). Mood disruption has also been reported (Katz et al., 2001) and jet lag has been hypothesized to unmask existing psychiatric vulnerabilities (Katz, 2011). Jet lag symptoms persist for several days and can be shortened by properly timed exposure to light and darkness.

Non-24 H

Non-24 h occurs when the internal circadian clock fails to entrain or synchronize to the 24 h day. As a result, sleep and wakefulness may progressively move earlier or later each day depending on the circadian period of the patient’s internal clock resulting in misalignment to environmental time (Wright et al., 2008; Uchiyama and Lockley, 2009). Alternatively, non-24 h patients may maintain a fixed wakefulness-sleep schedule and experience cyclical patterns of sleepiness and cognitive impairment during circadian misalignment when their internal clock promotes sleep during the patient’s waking day (Sack et al., 2007b). Non-24 h type is most common in blind individuals who have no photic input into the circadian clock. Psychiatric comorbidities are common in patients with non-24 h sleep disorder with some cases of mood disorders preceding and others following the onset of non-24 h (Hayakawa et al., 2005; Okawa and Uchiyama, 2007). Treatment with exogenous melatonin has been shown to entrain or synchronize patients with non-24 h to the 24 h Earth day (Sack et al., 2007b).

Shift work disorder (SWD)

Chronic circadian misalignment often occurs during shift work schedules because the internal biological timekeeping system of most shift workers does not adapt to the imposed work schedule (Sack et al., 1992; Dumont et al., 2001). Working at a biological time when the circadian clock is promoting sleep leads to impaired cognitive function (Dijk and Czeisler, 1995) and results in poor job performance and an increased risk for accidents (Dinges, 1995; Åkerstedt, 1998; Åkerstedt et al., 2002). Individuals who are required to work during the biological night and who are most vulnerable to circadian misalignment are at risk for shift work disorder (Drake and Wright, 2011). In general, night shift, rotating and early morning shift work schedules result in circadian misalignment leading to impaired nighttime performance, contributing to reduced work productivity and increased risk of accidents (e.g., drowsy driving) and disturbed daytime sleep. Circadian misalignment is thought to be a primary factor contributing to the risk of shift work disorder. Figure 5 shows a model of circadian misalignment where daytime sleep is shorter.
FIGURE 5 | Simplified model of wakefulness-sleep and circadian modulation of cognition during circadian misalignment associated with night work. Data are double plotted and show individual contributions of sleep inertia (grogginess upon awakening from sleep), homeostatic sleep drive (time awake and prior sleep history) and circadian phase (internal biological time) modulation of cognitive function. The interaction between sleep inertia, homeostatic sleep drive and circadian phase are altered during night shift work as compared to day work shown in Figure 3 such that sleepiness is promoted during the work shift.

In conclusion, brain processes involved in wakefulness-sleep and circadian regulation are important for optimal cognitive and affective function in otherwise healthy people and in patients with circadian rhythms disorders. Cognitive and affective problems are common in children and adolescents when environmental challenges such as early school start times induce circadian and sleep disruption. Further research is needed to understand how challenges to sleep and circadian physiology, clock gene polymorphisms, and disruption of clock gene function in brain regions may contribute to cognitive and affective vulnerabilities during development, adulthood and with aging. For example, does disruption of clock genes in brain regions outside of the hippocampus disrupt performance? Do the circadian/sleep systems modulate sleepiness, cognitive function, and affective processing in childhood as they do in adults? The latter question may be most important in young children who are still napping and are developing more advanced emotion regulation and executive function strategies. Further research is also necessary to understand interactions between sleep and circadian processes on higher cognitive functions in humans and in non-human models. Lastly, development of effective treatment and countermeasure strategies for circadian misalignment represents an unmet need that has important implications for public health and safety.
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